eehill com

LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE B FREEHILL FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP WILLIAM L. JUSKA, JR. JAMES L ROSS* 80 PINE STREET ERIC E LENCK YORK, N.Y. 10005-1759 JOHN J WALSH* PATRICK J BONNE R PETER J GUTOWS II ELEPHONE (212) 425-1900 MARK F MULLER FACSIMILE (212) 425-1901 WAYNE D. MEEHAN'

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 850 BERGEN AVENUE JERSEY CITY, N.J. 07306 TELEPHONE (973) 623-5514 FACSIMILE (973) 623-3813

CONNECTICUT OFFICE 23 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY SOUTH DARIEN, CT 06820-4538 TELEPHONE (203) 921-1913 FACSIMILE (203) 358-8377

Our Ref: 435-07/MEU

E-MAIL reception@freehill.com USDS SDNY DOCUMENT **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** DATE FILED: ALSO ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUS

2(iII)] CHAMBUNG OF COLLEEN MOMAHON October 9, 200

BY HAND

SO ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON D.C. * ALSO ADM*TTED IN LOUISIANA

DON P. MURNANE, JR. THOMAS M RUSSO

THOMAS M CANEVARI

MICHAEL FERNANDEZ*

BARBARA G CARNEVALE MANUEL A MOLINA JUSTIN'T NASTRO*

PAMELA L SCHULTZ**1 DANIEL J FITZGERALD*1.

MICHAEL C FLLIOTT'

ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW JER

JOHN F KARPOUSIS* MICHAEL E UNGER* WILLIAM J PALLAS"

GINA M VENEZIA LAWRENCE J KAHN

> The Honorable Colleen McMahon Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 5000 Pearl Street, Room 640 New York, New York 10007

Dear Judge McMahon:

Re:

We represent the Plaintiff in connection with the above-referenced action. We write to request an adjournment of the initial status conference that is scheduled for October 11, 2007 at 2:45 p.m.

JS Ocean Liners, Inc. v. Dao Construction Pty Ltd.,

Plaintiff initiated this action on September 6, 2007 seeking security, in the sum of \$131,843.73 for its maritime claim (following the issuance of a London final arbitration award in Plaintiff's favor) via an attachment of Defendant's property in this District pursuant to Rule B. Your Honor granted Plaintiff's application on the same day. Despite continued efforts, Plaintiff has not been successful in restraining any assets of the Defendant, although it continues to effect daily service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment upon various New York banks. We note that Local Admiralty Rule B.2 recognizes the importance of keeping Rule B actions ex parte until property is actually restrained, and provides that notice of attachment is not required to be given to the Defendant until after its property has been restrained. As no assets have been captured, the Defendant therefore has not received notice of the upcoming conference.

The Honorable Colleen McMahon October 9, 2007 Page 2

In light of the foregoing considerations, we therefore respectfully request that Your Honor grant the within application and adjourn the October 11 conference.

Respectfully submitted,

FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP

Mannel A Molina